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**SOUHRN**

The clinical significance of different histological subtypes of bladder cancer is challenging. The presence of variant architecture identifies mostly a high-risk population with a worse prognosis and suited for complementary treatment. This review outlines the histological variants of bladder cancer and the diagnostic problems.
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**Novinky v patologii močového měchýře**

**SUMMARY**

Různé histologické podtypy karcinomu močového měchýře mají výrazně rozdílný klinický význam. Identifikaci rozdílné architektoniky nádorového růstu lze označit vysoké rizikové pacienty s horší pronázrou onemocnění, kteří vyžadují odpovídající léčbu. Tento přehledový článek se věnuje jednotlivým histologickým variantám karcinomu močového měchýře a problémům s jejich diagnostikou.
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Urothelial carcinomas (UC) present in numerous and very different aspects. Therefore, it is exceedingly important to remain familiar not only with the WHO classification, but also to keep updated with newly described entities (1). Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated that specific tumor subtypes show different clinical outcomes and might also respond differently to treatment (i.e. squamous cell carcinomas or small cell carcinomas). However, this fact is often hard to prove, as many of those subtypes are rare, some variants are difficult to diagnose and confirm, especially when there is no muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC). In these cases it is important to recognize and interpret the histological features correctly. The most challenging and probably the most difficult are the nested UC and the large nested variant. But also other entities might be problematic such as the micropapillary variant or the inverted growth pattern as well as poorly differentiated variants such as the plasmacytoid variant or the lymphoepithelial one.

The first part of the paper will briefly describe the well known entities and the difficulties in their diagnosis, the second part will treat the newly described or less known entities, and the last part will handle immunohistochemistry and the issue of the metastatic poorly differentiated lesions. This review will not consider the small cell and neuroendocrine bladder carcinomas.

**WELL KNOWN SUBTYPES OF UROTHELIAL CARCINOMAS**

**Invasive micropapillary carcinoma**

Invasive micropapillary carcinoma (IMPC), as described by Amin in 1994, is now a well know entity, and it is globally agreed that this subtype shows an aggressive behavior. There is a propensity for lymphovascular invasion and lymph node metastasis. A high stage presentation at the time of diagnosis is frequent. Nevertheless, there still exist several points, which have not been explored adequately. One major problem is the cut-off, when pathologists have to consider an UC as an IMPC. Amin did not specify in his first description a clear cut-off and several reports have suggested different percentages as a cut-off since then (2-5). Johanson, Samarutunga and Compérat suggested diagnosing IMPC even if the micropapillary component is less than 10 %. Similarly, Kamat et al. proposed rendering the diagnosis even when a minor component less than 5 % is present (6). Recent studies demonstrated that the clinical outcome of IMPC is related to the percentage. Most of these tumors are muscle invasive at the time of diagnosis. In case of TURB (transurethral resection and biopsy) with IMPC and no detrusor muscle present, a new TURB has to be perform. Kamat et al. reported upstaging in 52.7 % of cases, Compérat et al. in 79 % of cases after cystectomy (5,6). Vascular invasion (VI) might be difficult to establish, because of the hollow spaces around the nests, nevertheless VI is very common in the IMPC and one of the reasons for its aggressiveness (Fig. 1). VI can easily be confirmed by immunohistochemistry (IHC). The main problem is to distinguish IMPC of the bladder from other micropapillary adenocarcinomas such as carcinomas from the ovary, colon, pancreas, peritoneum or breast. The imaging studies to exclude any other distant primary lesion is a key to confirm the diagnosis.

Furthermore, there is a spectrum of heterogeneity in morphology, and the difference between invasive UC with stromal...
reaction and IMPC is not always evident. Sangoi et al. demonstrated in a recent paper that the reproducibility of the diagnosis of IMPC had kappa value 0.54 (moderate reproducibility), although in the classic forms IMPC was well recognized with a 93% agreement. The highest association with IMPC diagnosis had multiple nests within the same retracted lacunar spaces, extensive retraction and back-to-back lacunae, but morphologic features such as intracytoplasmic vacuolization, epithelial ring forms, and peripheral nuclei were also recorded as features of IMPC. Another criterion was the nest width, which in case of < 4.5 nuclei is a good argument in favor of IMPC. On the other hand, micropapillae described as elongated nests or processes were only moderately sensitive/specific (7). Although there is an overall agreement that recognizing IMPC is important, more consensus is required to define this entity.

Plasmacytoid carcinoma

The plasmacytoid UC is a very rare variant of the UC characterized by tumor cells, which resemble plasma cells. Tumor cells are arranged as single cells in a loose stroma, they have similarly to plasma cells abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm and are poorly differentiated (8) (Fig. 2A). The tumor might show other components such as sarcomatoid or high grade UC, but it presents quite frequently in its pure form (1). The plasmacytoid variant is rare and the published series are small. The incidence in the bladder is less than 1%, and in the majority of cases plasmacytoid carcinoma concerns men. This variant has a very particular aspect of discohesive single cells, with more or less dense eosinophilic cytoplasm, which might contain small vacuoles. The stroma is loose, edematous and sometimes myxoid. The tumor cells can be arranged in small nests, cords, or relatively frequently in sheets infiltrating diffusely the underlying tissue. A mixture with other UC types and carcinoma in situ (CIS) can be present and might be most helpful to make the right diagnosis of urothelial origin. As this tumor has a diffuse growth, staging can be difficult without using immunohistochemistry. The plasmacytoid variant is known to be aggressive in the bladder and the overall survival is poor. The cases of plasmacytoid UC present very often in an advanced stage with lymph node metastasis and even with an intraperitoneal spread (9). In a recent paper, Hartmann et al. demonstrated in a series of 21 patients that the increased nuclear E-cadherin expression and the loss of membrane expression increased risk of death 2 fold (p = 0.04) and the overall survival of these patients was 27.4 months (10). They suggest the cystectomy as a treatment option with adjuvant cisplatin based chemotherapy (11). It is important to recognize this entity as urothelial of origin, and not to misdiagnose it as a metastasis of a distant adenocarcinoma, melanoma or lymphoma.

Rhabdoid features

UC can display focal rhabdoid features, which are characterized by large discohesive cells with distinctive cell borders (Fig. 2B). These carcinomas have to be considered as poorly differentiated lesions, and they are highly aggressive. The loss of INI-1 expres-
sion will distinguish malignant extra-renal rhabdoid tumor or renal medullary carcinoma from UC with rhabdoid features (12).

**Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma**

Another rare poorly differentiated entity difficult to recognize is the lymphoepithelioma-like UC, which resembles undifferentiated carcinomas as seen in the nasopharynx. The tumor displays male predominance and appears to have a better outcome than some of the other UC types. The neoplastic cells are large and are arranged in sheets, cords and trabeculae. Inflammatory infiltrate is predominantly lymphoid, but other inflammatory cells might also be present and they can completely obscure the epithelial tumor cells (Fig. 3). The presence of an associated CIS might be helpful to establish the diagnosis. As this type of UC is sometimes poorly circumscribed, the invasion might be difficult to evaluate and thus immunohistochemistry is very helpful to define the depth of infiltration. This tumor can occur in a pure form or in mixed forms with other types of UC (1,8). None of the cases is EBV-related, in contrast to its nasopharyngeal counterpart (13). The treatment of choice is the resection, and chemotherapy can be considered in case of localized tumors. Nevertheless, this entity should not be misdiagnosed as a lymphoma - epithelial markers help to guide pathologists to the correct diagnosis.

**Clear cell UC**

This entity is also called glycogen rich-type. The clear cell pattern is rarely predominant. More frequently, focal clearing of the cells can be observed in UC. Only few cases have been documented in the literature. Typically, this carcinoma is of a high grade and invasive, mostly with the solid growth. The cell membranes are well defined, cytoplasm is clear and abundant, nuclei are placed centrally and have pleomorphic aspects. This entity should not be misdiagnosed as a clear cell adenocarcinoma or a metastasis of a clear cell carcinoma (8,14).

**Lipoid (“lipid-rich”) UC**

This variant of UC displays large cells with an optically empty, mostly multivacuolated cytoplasm (Fig. 4). To call this entity, the pathologist should find at least 10 – 50 % of these cells (1,15). Ultrastructural studies could show that the cells contain lipids rather than mucin. The immunostains are the same as in a conventional UC. The outcome is poor.

![Fig. 4. Lipid-rich variant, this UC is poorly differentiated.](image)

**Nested carcinoma and closely related subtypes**

The nested variant of UC is probably one the most difficult to recognize. This variant, described by Stern in 1979, but not recognized as a malignant lesion, was considered only as an infiltrating UC until 1989 (16,17). This entity can easily be under-recognized. The superficial component of this tumor is composed of small clusters of well delimited nests, with few or no atypia (Fig. 5A). Mitoses are rare, the immunohistochemical profile is close to the conventional UC (18). Therefore, the distinction from von Brunn’s nest is not easy. When the nested variant was compared to classical high grade UC, it presented more often as an invasive disease, especially on TURBs (70 % versus 31 % respectively), with more frequent extravasal involvement (83 % vs. 33 % respectively) and metastases (67 % vs. 19 % respectively). Even with therapy, 70 % of patients died of the disease within 4 - 40 months (17,19). Nevertheless, with the improved recognition of these lesions the patients seem to be treated in a better way, and recent studies demonstrated that despite the aggressive presentation, it had not a worse recurrence ratio or outcome than the classical UC (20) (Fig. 5).

**Small tubular type UC**

Small tubular type UC is a histological subtype very closely related to the nested variant. This carcinoma is made of small to medium sized round elongated tubules, which might be misdiagnosed as a nephrogenic adenoma or cystitis glandularis (Fig. 6). Cytology of these tumors is low grade, nevertheless they have
to be recognized as an invasive tumors. In case of muscle invasion the problem is quickly resolved. But, just like the nested variant, it might be very difficult to confirm the pT1 stage of these carcinomas, if they show only superficial invasion. The distinction from the extension of a prostatic carcinoma can be challenging, but immunohistochemistry helps to resolve the problem (8).

**Microcystic carcinoma**

The microcystic UC also belongs into the group of the deceptively "benign" carcinomas. There exist marked variations of these nested aspects and some authors consider that microcystic carcinomas represent a subtype of nested UC. Typically, pathologists observe numerous microcysts, nest of UC and UC with glandular differentiation (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, in case of few nests, it might be very difficult to confirm the invasive disease, and sometimes only at pT2 stage the diagnosis of invasive UC can be given with certitude. On the other hand, the nested/microcystic UC should not be mistaken and cystic cystitica or nephrogenic adenoma can be taken for invasive carcinoma, if florid. Especially in superficial biopsies or resection specimen, this variant is very challenging. A recent paper demonstrated variable positivity for cytokeratin 7 and 20, MUC1, MUC5AC, p63 and GATA-3 (21).

These cysts are of a small size (1 - 2 mm), mostly round or oval, and might contain secretion. Like all the other above mentioned subtypes of bland appearance, they do not have much atypia or mitoses. The growth is often infiltrative into the bladder wall. Nevertheless, the prognosis does not seem to be worse than in classical UC (21).

**NEWLY DESCRIBED AND LESS KNOWN ENTITIES**

**Large cell tumors**

This recently described subtype is characterized by the sheets of large polygonal or round cells with moderate to abundant cytoplasm and distinct cell borders. In more than half of the cases the tumor exists in a pure form (Fig. 8). The architecture varies from an infiltrating patterns to solid expansive nests. Focally dis cohesive patterns have been observed as well. Most patients present in an advanced stage with lymph node metastasis, the overall survival is poor (5 - 26 months), but only few data documented that. Nevertheless, this entity has to be recognized as an aggressive and advanced stage of UC (22).

**Large nested cell variant of urothelial carcinoma**

Another newly described entity represents the large nested (LN) variant UC. These are invasive carcinomas, consisting of large nests of cells. These nests have a bland appearance, but they are frequently invasive into the lamina propria. They share features of the nested and papillary pattern as well as of the inverted papilloma-like growth. Therefore, it can be very difficult to confirm the invasiveness of this carcinoma. Cox and Epstein reported the largest series with 23 cases, nevertheless, this entity in a non-pure form might be more frequent. This variant, as all muscle invasive UCs, has to be considered as an aggressive disease with a metastatic potential. This entity might mimic von Brunn’s proliferation and inverted papilloma. Even in case of muscle invasion, the diagnosis can be difficult, because the well delimited nests can easily be mistaken as tangential cutting; in pT1 stage this issue becomes even worse (23) (Fig. 9).

**Inverted papilloma-like growth**

This entity is a part of the benign looking, but nevertheless aggressive UC. It displays an inverted papilloma-like growth pattern. This entity does not figure in the WHO 2004 classification, but it has to be mentioned, especially because of the difficulties in its staging.

Major differential diagnosis is the inverted papilloma, and it might be very difficult to make the correct diagnosis even for experienced pathologists. Furthermore, it is complicated to establish the depth of invasion in these tumors (Fig. 10). UC with inverted growth has a tendency to have thicker cords and columns with irregularity and mitoses. Solid areas can be also observed. In contrast to the inverted papillomas, cytologic atypia is frequent as well as mitoses. On the other hand, necrosis is rarely seen; the peripheral spindling and palisading like in his benign counterpart is also more or less absent. This type of tumor shows varying pushing borders and the destruction of the bladder wall by an invasion is often not evident. Like other UCs, this tumor has to be graded, especially if not invasive (24).

**Poorly differentiated carcinomas**

**Sarcomatoid carcinoma of the urinary bladder**

Sarcomatoid carcinoma includes the carcinosarcoma of the bladder. This entity is relatively rare, representing 0.6 % of bladder carcinomas. Both entities can be lumped together as molecular studies demonstrated a common clonal origin for the carcinomatous and sarcomatous components (Fig. 11). Furthermore, the outcome with or without heterologous elements is similar (25). The sarcomatous areas can be mixed with UC, but also with other less classical subtypes such as squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma or small cell carcinoma. Varying differentiation of the sarcoma component can be present in the same lesion, such as osteo-, lipo- or rhabdomyosarcoma. The coexistence with carcinoma in situ is frequent and helpful to confirm the urothelial origin. The differential diagnosis can include benign lesions such as inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors, but also carcinomas or sarcomas. Immunohistochemistry is very helpful in this type of tumor - the inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors will express smooth muscle actin, desmin, pan-cytokeratin, and ALK-1 (26). Sarcomatous bladder carcinomas mostly present as a high stage disease with frequent lymph node invasion and distant metastasis.

UC with pseudosarcomatous stromal reaction should not be mistaken for sarcomatoid carcinoma. Superficial very atypical cells can be observed, with an abundant cytoplasm and often hyperchromatic and atypical nuclei. Osseous and cartilaginous metaplasia can also be present, and although these findings are rare, they should not be mistaken for a heterologous differentiation. Osteoclast-like-giant cells have also been described in these lesions and should not be mistaken for a giant-cell rich bladder carcinoma.

**Undifferentiated urothelial carcinoma with osteoclastic giant cells (OGC) and giant cell (GC) carcinomas**

The OGC entity closely resembles osteoclastic giant cell tumors in the soft parts and bones. This entity has been more frequently described in the upper urinary tract. The cells are oval, plump, of a big size, the stroma is richly vascularized and the osteoclast-like giant cells express CD68, CD51 and CD54 (27) (Fig. 12). The urothelial component expresses the usual UC markers. The lymphovascular invasion and metastases are frequent. The GC variant is very rare and the most challenging issue is to make
**Fig. 6.** Small tubular type with few atypia, the stroma is edematous.

**Fig. 7.** Microcystic variant of UC, well delimited carcinomatous nests infiltrating into the lamina propria.

**Fig. 8.** Large cell UC, with severe atypia and poor differentiation. This type can be problematic to be recognized as a primary UC.

**Fig. 9.** Large nested cell variant. Well delimited large nests in the middle of the detrusor muscle.

**Fig. 10.** Inverted UC infiltrating deeply into the lamina propria.

**Fig. 11.** Sarcomatoid carcinoma. Poorly differentiated appearance, the tumor cells are fusiform.

**Fig. 12.** Undifferentiated carcinoma admixed with giant cells.

**Fig. 13.** Undifferentiated giant cell UC, tumor cells have eosinophilic abundant cytoplasm.
a diagnosis of a primary UC and not to mistake it as a metastasis (Fig. 13). GC UC are undifferentiated neoplasms with a high degree of nuclear anaplasia. The overall survival, like in other poorly/undifferentiated UC variants, is poor (8).

**Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC)**

According to the WHO 2004 classification, a tumor can only be called squamous if it consists at least of 95% of (keratin forming) squamous cells carcinoma. SCC represents 5% of all neoplasms in the bladder; with the better management of schistosomiasis, the incidence in the endemic regions like Egypt decreased (28,29).

These tumors present as an infiltrating masses, frequently with inflammatory and necrotic areas. The squamous differentiation is characterized by sheets of cells with well-defined cell borders, eosinophilic cytoplasm and focal keratin pearls formation. The association with a squamous metaplasia, hyperkeratosis or squamous CIS is frequent. Immunohistochemistry is positive for p63, high molecular weight cytokeratins (HMW-CKs) and AE1/3.

Differential diagnosis includes the UC with squamous differentiation, or a metastasis. Secondary involvement from the anus or cervix can occur. SCC has its prognosis close to the classical urothelial carcinomas.

**Verrucous carcinomas** are rare well-differentiated neoplasms, presenting mostly with pushing borders, which should not be taken for inverted growth pattern (1).

**Adenocarcinoma**

The biggest problem when diagnosing a bladder adenocarcinoma is to make sure that it is a primary malignancy and not a metastasis. Most adenocarcinomas share morphological patterns with colonic adenocarcinomas. Villous adenomas, acinar, cribriform or solid carcinomas have been reported. Neuroendocrine differentiation can also be observed. Mucinous, tubulovillous and colloid differentiation have been described recently in some reports (30). In mucinous tumors, individual detached cells within the lakes of mucin, like in the colonic counterpart, appear. Sometimes, there might be a component with signet ring cells (Fig. 14). A metaplasia in association with the adenocarcinoma is a frequent finding. CIS admixed with adenocarcinoma can be helpful to establish the diagnosis of a primary tumor. The differential diagnosis includes all forms of metastatic adenocarcinoma of various origins. The primary localizations can be the gastrointestinal tract as well as prostate. Some primary signet cell carcinomas are able to mimic metastatic gastrointestinal tumors. They might also resemble lobular carcinoma of the breast. The use of ER, PR and GCDFP-15 immunostains should lead to the correct diagnosis.

PAS and Alcian blue staining can be positive, especially in case of mucinous or signet ring cell carcinomas. There is no specific immunoprofile of adenocarcinomas in the bladder. These carcinomas display CK7 in 75%, CK20 in nearly 100%, MUC2 in 100% and CDX-2 in 50% (31). CEA can be positive and β-catenin stains positively in the cytoplasm. The latter finding is

![Fig. 14. Signet ring-cell component in an UC.](image)

![Fig. 15. Urachal adenocarcinoma with relatively well differentiated appearance.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TUMOR TYPE</th>
<th>GATA-3</th>
<th>S-100P</th>
<th>UPIII</th>
<th>HMWCK</th>
<th>CK7</th>
<th>CK20</th>
<th>p63</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC with or without divergent differentiation</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC Variants*</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unifferentiated carcinomas ¥</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC with squamous differentiation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC with glandular differentiation</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UPIII – uroplakin III

*IMPC, plasmocytoid, nested, clear cell and microcystic

¥ lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma, small cell carcinoma, sarcomatoid carcinoma with rhabdoid and giant cells
of importance, especially if there is a doubt about a metastasis from the gastro-intestinal tract, because some colonic tumors display nuclear positivity for β-catenin. The survival depends on the subtype of adenocarcinoma. Mucinous tumors are associated with a survival rate of 55%; the signet ring cell adenocarcinomas have a very poor outcome (27%) (32).

Urachal carcinomas

Urachal carcinomas are malignant tumors arising in urachal remnants. They represent uncommon malignancies, localized at the dome or anterior bladder wall. Most of these carcinomas are adenocarcinomas, but other types and variants also occur commonly (Fig. 15). The outcome is often poor, because of the advanced stage at the time of their discovery (33); they represent 22–35% of urinary bladder adenocarcinomas (34).

Several staging systems have been proposed recently (35,36). Most follow the system proposed by Sheldon et al. (36): pT1 represents no invasion beyond the urachal mucosa, pT2 - invasion is confined to the urachus, pT3 - local extension to the (a) bladder or (b) abdominal wall or (c) viscera other than the bladder, and pT4 metastasis to (a) regional lymph nodes and (b) distant sites.

There are conflicting data concerning prognosis and survival compared to “classical” bladder carcinomas. Gopalan et al. demonstrated that the pathologic stage is an important prognostic factor in urachal carcinomas (34). Herr et al. noted in their study of urachal carcinomas staged according to the Sheldon classification, that the patients with bladder and urachus confined disease had better outcome than those with higher stage (37).

IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY AND THE ISSUE OF POORLY DIFFERENTIATED LESIONS METASTASIS

Several immunohistochemical makers are considered as being helpful and specific and are used in daily practice. The most commonly used are CK7, CK20, p63 and HMW-CKs. More recently described markers are GATA-3 and S-100p, or Uroplakin II. A recent paper explored the immunohistochemical expression of the most common and also recently described antibodies in different subtypes of urothelial carcinoma (38). The results are presented in the Table 1.

In difficult cases, several markers will have to be employed. Moreover, tissue specific markers for other organs such as PSA, p504s, CDX-2 and many others can be useful. In case of neuroendocrine tumors of the bladder, one should be aware of the positivity of markers such as TTF-1 in 30% of UC cases.

In conclusion, pathologists have to be aware of the numerous aspects of UCs, with their varying morphological features. Especially in metastatic sites, it might be exceedingly difficult to make the correct diagnosis.
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